organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Luis Nunez, Jesse D. Brown, Adam M. Donnelly, Christine R. Whitlock and Allison J. Dobson*

Georgia Southern University, Department of Chemistry Box 8064, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA

Correspondence e-mail: adobson@georgiasouthern.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.067 wR factor = 0.094 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

1,4-Dibenzylpiperazine-2,5-dione

The title compound, $C_{18}H_{18}N_2O_2$, crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group C2/c with half the molecule as the asymmetric unit (molecules are located on inversion centers). π - π interactions between the benzene rings are found, with a perpendicular distance of 3.657 (11) Å between ring centroids.

Comment

The importance of π - π interactions in many molecules containing aromatic rings (Hunter & Sanders, 1990; Burley & Petsko, 1985; McGaughey *et al.*, 1998) has been well established in the literature. Piperazine-2,5-diones are commonly found in biologically active natural products; their cyclic dipeptide structure and prevalence in nature make them important targets in the search for new drugs (Witiak & Wei, 1990; Dinsmore & Beshore, 2002). This study of 1,4-dibenzylpiperazine-2,5-dione, (I), a piperazinedione with the potential for π - π interactions, is one of a series on these interactions in aromatic compounds, including a novel trisindole amine (Carpenter *et al.*, 2004).

$O_{i}^{CH_{2}Ph}$

The title compound crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group C2/c (No 15) with half the molecule in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). This molecule exhibits $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the benzene rings of adjacent molecules stacking down the *b* axis, with a perpendicular distance of 3.657 (11) Å between ring centroids. The benzene rings form an angle of 109.6 (2)° with the piperazine ring, with one angled in the positive direction and one in the negative direction, forming a zigzag pattern (Fig. 2).

The same molecular formula is shared by *cyclo*(-L-phenylalanyl-L-phenylalanyl-) (CPA) (Gdaniec & Liberek, 1986), the difference being that the benzyl groups are on the piperazine ring C atoms in that compound and on the N atoms in the title compound. The title compound clearly exhibits π - π interactions, while CPA does not. The CPA molecule has both benzyl groups *cis* to the piperazine ring, with dihedral angles to it of 55.4 (5) and 35.7 (1)° (our calculations), while in (I) the benzyl groups point in opposite directions. A novel tris-indolyl amine (Carpenter *et al.*, 2004) with three 'arms' extending from the

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 23 September 2004

Accepted 15 October 2004

Online 22 October 2004

nitrogen center demonstrates $\pi - \pi$ interactions between two of the arms with a perpendicular distance of 3.498 (4) Å, similar to the $\pi - \pi$ interaction distance found in (I).

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by a modification of a previously reported procedure (Granacher *et al.*, 1928). Glycine anhydride was added to a stirred solution of NaH in DMF. When gas evolution had ceased (1.5 h), benzyl chloride was added and, after stirring for 18 h, the solution was filtered to give a white solid. Recrystallization of the solid from ethyl acetate gave colorless glassy crystals (yield 93.5%; m.p. 435–437 K). Please give quantities of reagents

 $D_r = 1.322 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 16

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

T = 298 (2) K

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.146\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 27.5^\circ \end{aligned}$

 $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 5$

 $l = -36 \rightarrow 36$

3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: 1.5%

Weighting scheme: Chebychev

613.558 846.432 246.609

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

polynomial with 3 parameters (Carruthers & Watkin, 1979)

Block, colorless $0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ mm

 $\theta = 5.7 - 9.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{18}H_{18}N_2O_2\\ M_r = 294.35\\ Monoclinic, C2/c\\ a = 11.829 (9) Å\\ b = 4.482 (3) Å\\ c = 27.91 (1) Å\\ \beta = 91.50 (5)^\circ\\ V = 1479.0 (16) Å^3\\ Z = 4 \end{array}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-75 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.976$, $T_{\max} = 0.991$ 7672 measured reflections 1705 independent reflections 1042 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.067$ $wR(F^2) = 0.094$ S = 1.171187 reflections 116 parameters All H-atom parameters refined

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C8	1.235 (2)	C2-C3	1.369 (4)
N1-C7	1.463 (3)	C3-C4	1.381 (4)
N1-C8	1.341 (2)	C4-C5	1.365 (4)
C1-C2	1.392 (3)	C5-C6	1.381 (4)
C1-C6	1.384 (3)	C8-C9	1.484 (3)
C1-C7	1.507 (3)		
C7-N1-C8	120.6 (2)	C6-C5-C4	120.9 (3)
C2-C1-C6	118.1 (2)	C1-C6-C5	120.3 (2)
C2-C1-C7	121.2 (2)	N1-C7-C1	112.4 (2)
C6-C1-C7	120.7 (2)	C9-C8-O1	117.7 (2)
C3-C2-C1	121.2 (2)	C9-C8-N1	119.4 (2)
C4-C3-C2	120.0 (2)	O1-C8-N1	122.9 (2)
C5-C4-C3	119.4 (3)		

Atoms H2–H8 were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.95 Å) and refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Atoms H9 and H10 were refined freely [C-H = 0.95 (3) and 0.99 (3) Å]. The large difference in independent reflections and refined reflections is because there was a large number of weak reflections.

Data collection: *WinAFC* (Rigaku/MSC & Rigaku, 2001); cell refinement: *WinAFC*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSC,



Figure 1

ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) drawing of the title molecule, showing the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level for all non-H atoms. Unlabeled atoms are related to labeled atoms by the symmetry code $(-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2})$.



Figure 2

ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) packing diagram of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level for all non-H atoms. H atoms have been omitted.

2003); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *CRYSTALS* (Betteridge *et al.*, 2003); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *CrystalStructure*.

We appreciate the support of Georgia Southern University through the purchase of our AFC-7*S* X-ray diffractometer.

References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. **26**, 343–350.

Burley, S. K. & Petsko, G. A. (1985). Science, 229, 23-28.

- Betteridge, P. W., Carruthers, J. R., Cooper, R. I., Prout, K. & Watkin, D. J. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1487.
- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Carpenter, R. A., Farley, A. R., Cox, J. R., Dobson, A. J. & Whitlock, C. R. (2004). J. Undergrad. Chem. Res. 3, 11–14.
- Carruthers, J. R. & Watkin, D. J. (1979). Acta Cryst, A35, 698-699.
- Dinsmore, C. J. & Beshore, D. C. (2002). Tetrahedron, 58, 3297-3312.
- Gdaniec, M. & Liberek, B. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1343-1345.
- Granacher, C., Wolf, G. & Weidinger, A. (1928). Helv. Chim. Acta, 11, 1228–1241.
- Hunter, C. A. & Sanders, J. K. M. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 5525–5534.McGaughey, G. B., Gagnes, M. & Rappe, A. K. (1998). J. Biol. Chem. 273, 15458–15463.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Rigaku/MSC & Rigaku (2001). *WinAFC*. Rigaku/MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381-5209, USA, and Rigaku Corporation, 3-9-12 Akishima, Tokyo 196-8666, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2003). CrystalStructure. Version 3.5.1. Rigaku/MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381-5209, USA.
- Witiak, D. T. & Wei, Y. (1990). Prog. Drug Res. 35, 249-363.